App. F. IX-4

1933-34
(S+A)

Previous References
S+A-23-25-(1-2)

File No. 270-33
Serial Nos. 1-4

Later References.
L-S, 44-38-1
G.J. 171-44-1
GF 15045 (1-5)
L-B 330-49-98-20

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Four

Part B for August 1934

SUBJECT.

Grant of ~~costs~~ pension to
Hon'ble Justice M. Ramachandra Rao.
Judge, High Court of Mysore, Bangalore

H. 1703-4/ dated 13/8/34
To the Comptroller
Registrar, High Court.

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

Sanctions an arbitrary pension of
Rs. 150/- to Hon'ble Justice M. Ramachandra
Rao, with effect from 14/8/34.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

Immediate

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]



Invoices ... up
... the 255
Rem
1.5.../.../...
17

1 Particulars of gross and qualifying Service of Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, High Court Judge, on 13.8.1934, and the amount of gratuity or pension if any that would be admissible to him may kindly be noted

M. Shamanna
for Financial Secretary.

To The Comptroller with Compts

M

18/4/34.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

642/8f
26/4/34.

3) U.O.No.1887/Pen dated 24th April 1934

Returned with compliments.

2. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, Judge, High Court of Mysore, was appointed as an Acting Judge on 14-8-1931 and continued in it till 10-1-1932. From 11-1-32 to 24-1-1932 he was temporary Fourth Judge sanctioned as a special appointment. He became an acting Judge again from 25-1-1932 to 30-3-1932. He was confirmed on a pay of Rs 1500/- ~~from~~ per mensem from 31-3-1932. He counts his service for pension from 31-3-1932 and the net qualifying service on 13-8-1934 will be 2 years 4 months and 12 days for which he will be eligible to get a gratuity of Rs 3,000/- as per Article 320(a)(v) M.S.R.

For Comptroller

CV

4) Submitted. The file in which the general question of what pension Concessions could be ~~go~~ shown to the Members of the Bar directly recruited to the High Court, is dealt with, has been sent to C.B. as a Council Case. In that file the first member had minuted as to what portion of the Service of Mr. Justice Ramachandra Rao could be allowed

Qualifying Service of Mr. Justice Rama-Chandra Rao.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

to Count for pension. The above note from the Comptroller gives definite information as to the qualifying Service of Mr Justice Ramachandra Rao. If approved this file will be sent to C.B. for being linked to the main file as this may help disposing of the question of what portion of the Service may be allowed to Count

31.4.34.

5) H Secy) 30/4/34.

6) 1/5

2/5/34

C.B.W.C.)

7) The question now referred for Consideration by Council is only the general one re: pension or gratuity to be granted to Members of the bar appd. direct as H.C. Judges

8 (The individual case of Mr M. Ramachandra Rao also dealt with in that file, has not been referred to Council. This paper may therefore be of no help in disposing of the question before Co

If deemed necessary, the ~~Case of Mr Ramachandra~~ Council file may be taken back and the case of Mr. Rama

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-34

C.J. 3/5/34
Fin. Br; W.C.

Qualifying service
~~Pension Case~~ of Mr Justice Ramachandra Rao.

File No 37[illegible]/34 of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Submitted for orders

3.5.34

1) H Secy) 3.5.34.

11) This may be kept 'in Suspense' for some time until a formal reference is received in the particular Case from the Chief Court Dewan

4/5/34

12) 4-5-34

6891/4/5

Sup 7.5.34.

(1)

For Disposal by Circulation

No. C. 286

of 1933(G)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Council Matter

Subject

13) Question of pension or gratuity to be granted in the case of officers appointed as Judge of the High Court, direct from the Bar.

Circulated to the Members of Council

By Order,

R. Rangachar

Chief Secretary to Government.

1st May 193 4.

First Member of Council 14) 4/5/34

Second Member of Council 15) 2/5/34

Financial Secretary to Government,
with compliments.

RR 7/5

7.5.34.

Serial No.

Memorandum regarding the grant of certain Pension concessions to Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao, Judge, High Court.

---

16) It is stated in the Memorandum that in the Madras High Court, a Judge becomes eligible for a pension of £ 600 per annum for just 6½ years of service and that for longer periods of service rendered by him he is entitled to higher amounts of pension, ranging from £ 720 for 8½ years to £ 1,200/- for 11½ years. This is true, vide, Article 543 para 24, C.S.R.

17) Mr. Ramachandra Rao is stated to have put in a total service of 4 years and 8 months and 5 days as a Judge of the High Court. But out of this, only 2½ years is substantive service, the balance being officiating and temporary and under the strict rules, he is to get 2 years' pay or Rs 3,000/- as gratuity for it. If the whole of this is treated as qualifying as a special case, then Mr. Ramachandra Rao is entitled under the rules to a gratuity of Rs 6,000/-

18) An addition of 5 years to his actual service is not admissible under Article 262, M.S.R. since he will not have put in 10 years of qualifying service. If this addition is however made as a special

which does not count for pension rules 5.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

case, and the entire period of his service is counted (including acting and temporary periods), the total service would be 9 years and 8 months and 5 days and for this he would get a gratuity of Rs 13,500/-

(b) If, as requested by him, a pension is to be granted, the monthly equivalent of the above according to the Commutation Table, is Rs 108/- and this may be sanctioned as a pension instead of gratuity. Even if Mr. Ramachandra Rao's qualifying service were 33 years, he would have got a pension of Rs ~~166/11-8~~ only per mensem. (2000 Rs p.a.)

(FIRST [illegible])

19-4-32

Ordinarily the "special addition of 3 years" of service for counting for pension is limited to cases where the actual service put in is not less than 10 years. In the case of a person recruited direct by the High Court I am of opinion that this limitation might be waived, and five years added to the ~~actual~~ number of years of actual service, provided the actual service is for not less than 5 years. Even this will not help Mr Ramachandra Rao since his actual service is less than 5 years. To meet cases like his, I would add a rule that if the actual

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 20 APR. 1934 No. 6500

OFFICE OF THE ... FIRST ... 19 APR. 1934 6248

qualifying service as a member of the Bar recruited direct if the Applicant falls short of five years, the actual service may be doubled for the purpose of calculating pension (a paragraph).

21) As Mr Ramachandra Rao's service as certain portion is temporary or acting acting service cannot of course count for pension, but I think that as a special case his temporary service as ~~acting~~ (temporary fourth grade) may be allowed to count

22) [illegible]
19-4-34
Dewan

23) MS
21-4-34
[illegible]
23/4/34

24) Submitted with a draft order (general Order re. counting of the temporary Service of Mr. Ramachandra Rao may issue after receipt of information re. his service, from the audit Office. (The same is called for)

MW 24.4.34. 25) The general order putting may be issued after Consideration in Council as it seems the Council wants Chetwai)

H Seey) 24.4.34.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

CHIEF SECRETARY. 30 APR. 1934

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

Pension Concessions to Officers appointed as Judges of the High Court direct from the Bar.

File No. 3458 of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

~~[illegible]~~

C.S.

C.J.

Rly

30/4/34.

Submitted with a draft Submission note.

8.5.1934.

For approval.

H Seely) 9-5-34

Rly 10/5

Fair copy

11.5.34

Rly 14/5

14/5/34

(Revised)

I think it is better not to make any reference to the Ramachandra Rao case in the Submission. Strictly speaking there is no analogy between any pension, any man may be given appointment like this on a covenant or else by governing Special terms. If we have to appoint another Judge for the benefit of the High Court, I should speak

DEPARTMENT OF
14 MAY 1934
No. 214

TYPING SECTION
12 MAY 1934
GENL. & REVENUE SECTT.

Serial No.

2 & 6 (6)

Terms, which do not include ~~this~~ gratuity a pension in such cases.
I do suggest, Sirs, that Rs. R. Rao may be given an arbitrary pension of Rs 120 p.a. & the matter disposed of in this way.

33) JNJ
30-5-34

Ch Secy J.

34) 30/5/34.

35) 1st member ~~Rs~~ RR
2nd member ~~Rs~~ 36) 31/5/34
PAPPAJ.

THE COUNCIL 1934 9370

6/6/34

6/6/34

First Legislative Council.
Civil Candidates ~~Rs~~ SPR
7/6/34
RR
5/6/34

5/6/34

Inclusion in 37) agreed
RR
Included.
5/6.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

286

3. (7)

Subject:-

Question of granting pension to Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

38)
The decision to grant him
Rs. 120 p.m. may stand
RZ
17/6/34.

Financial Secretary
with Compts
39)
RZ
18/6/34
✓
18/6/34.

3488/H

(8)

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

40) A revised Submission note is put up

WW 19/6

For approval.

41) H. Seey) 19-6-34.

M 20/6.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
21 JUNE 1934
No. 2099

Please type.

20/6/34.

42) Typed D.S.N. submitted

21/6/34

43) H. Seey) 21/6/34.

Dewan

44) M 21/6

45) M 21-6-34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01536—GPB—7,500—5-4-34

Submitted to His Highness.

50) These papers relate to the grant of certain pension concessions to officers appointed as Judges of the High Court direct from the Bar.

51) Under the existing rule (Article 262, Mysore Service Regulations) a member of the Bar who is directly appointed as Magistrate, Sub-Judge or to a higher post in the Judicial Department, is entitled to an addition of service up to a maximum period of 3 to 5 years under certain conditions. Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao who is due to retire on 13th August 1934 has made a representation asking for certain pension concessions. When the papers were placed before him, the First Member of Council minuted as follows:-

Page 3 of Hist notes.

52) "Ordinarily the 'special additions' of 5 years to service counting for pension is limited to cases where the actual service put in is not less than 10 years. In the case of a person recruited direct to the High Court, I am of opinion that this limitation may be waived and five years added to the number of years of actual service provided the actual service is for not less than five years. Even this will not help Mr. Ramachandra Rao since his actual service is less than five years. To meet cases like this, I would add a rule that if the actual qualifying service of a member of the bar recruited direct to the High Court falls short of five years, the actual service may be doubled for the purpose of calculating pension (or gratuity). "

53) I was at first inclined to agree with the First Member, but on a consideration of all the facts, I am of opinion that it is not necessary to change the rules and it would be enough to specify the terms (which would not ordinarily include the grant of a pension) in cases where appointments are made from the Bar to the High Court. In view, however,

Page 5 of Hist notes.

however, of the peculiar case of Mr. Ramachandra Rao, he may be given an arbitrary pension of Rs 120/- per mensem.

54) The Members of Council are agreeable to this suggestion and I solicit the gracious sanction of His Highness to the same.

Page 6 of Annexure

Mysore,
22nd June 1934.

Sd/ Mirza M. Ismail
DEWAN.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

C Ley 56)
25-6-34

RR
21/6/34

676

57)

Subject.

Grant of pension to Mr.Justice M. Ramachandra Rao.

Order.

KRW
25/6/34

General and Revenue
Secretariat

Grant of pension to
Hr. Justice M. Ramachandra
Rau.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Head-quarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

58) Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*Vide Rules* 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Rangachari

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....Department.

10116/27/6

59) FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

6856/28/6

60) SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

61) Financial Secretary
with Compts.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Pension to Mr Justice M. Ramachandra Rao 13

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 3488/ of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

62) Submitted with a draft order. Since Mr. Justice Ramachandra Rao is to retire only in August 1934 whether the order should issue now is for consideration. In the alternative the High Court may be informed of the decision of Govt. and the order itself may be issued officially in due course.

A

63) A — May be done.
H Secy)
M
29.6.34.

64) Put up a D.O. letter to the Hon'ble Justice Ramachandra Rao
M
30/6

65) A draft D.O. letter is put up.
H Secy
M
30.6.34.

66) Ch Secy) I consider appropriate that this D.O. will be issued to him to keep him aware of the decision of Government
M
30/6

Chiefs)

67) Rd
30/6/34

I Minute

Orders

68)

69) Issue
30-6-34

CHIEF SECRETARY
30 JUNE 1934

DEMANDS OFFICE
30 JUNE 1934
No. 8335

TYPING SECTION
GENL & REV. SECTT.
JUL 1934

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0.955—GPB—22,500—12-6-34

File No. of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

Do. no H48 dt 4 7.34 to Mr. Justice M Ramachandra Rao intimating him that Govt have decided to sanction to him an arbitrary pension of Rs 125/- p.m.

Sus till 14/8/34
11.7.34.

From Mr Justice M. Ramachandra Rao.

Representation dt 2.7.34

Requests that the quantum of pension that may be granted to him may be raised to a higher figure.

Next Page.

15

Extract from the Proceedings of the 922nd *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at* ~~Bangalore~~ MYSORE *on the* 10th July 1934. *19* .

2. Subject. -

73) Mr. L. Ramachandra Rao's representation about his pension.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

74) This may be fixed at Rs.150/- per mensem.

75) (Signed) MIRZA M. ISMAIL,

DEWAN.

Financial Secretary
with Compts

76)

RR
10/7/34

12/7/34.

"EXTRACT"

R. Rangiah

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 433—GPB—1,000—1-9-20

Pension to Hn. Justice M Ramachandra Rao.

16

174/H

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 174/H of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

77) Submitted with a Draft Submission & Note

DEWAN'S OFFICE
19 JULY 1934
No. 2994

M 14.7.34

W 14/7 (Dewan)

H Secy

78) h 17-7-34

S 19.7.34

79) Hm 19-7-34

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01955—GPE—22,500—12-6-34

(7)

Submitted to His Highness.

Page 9511 of Office Notes

80) Gracious sanction of His Highness was accorded on 25th June 1934 to the grant of an arbitrary pension of Rs.120/- per mensem as a special case to Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao, Judge, High Court, Bangalore, who is due to retire on 13th August 1934. Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao has since represented that a higher pension may be granted to him. The request was considered at a meeting of the Council held on 10th July 1934 at Mysore, and the Council are of opinion that the pension of Mr. Ramachandra Rao may be fixed at Rs.150/- per mensem.

Page 15 of Office Notes

81) I solicit the gracious sanction of His Highness to the enhancement of the pension from Rs.120/- to Rs.150/- per mensem.

Mirza M. Ismail

D E W A N .

Bangalore,)
19th July 1934.)

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

82) Grant of enhanced pension to Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao, Judge, High Court, Bangalore.

C Secy
Ms
25-7-34

33

83)

RR
25/7/34.

Order.

~~KRW~~
23/7/34

174/GR

9

General and Revenue Secretariat

84) Grant of enhanced pension to Rt. Justice M Ramachandra Rau, Judge, High Court.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Head-quarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

85) Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders. (*) (*Vide Rules* 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

R. Rawell

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

Finl ..... Department.

27.7.34

615/27/7

86) FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 27/7

636/27/7

87) SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

27/7

Finl Secy. with Comps.

RR 27/7/34

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Pension to Mr. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao

20

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 174/H of 193 . | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

~~For ord~~ 88) Whether the draft order on the file may now issue is for orders.

89) This may issue on the 13th inst.

MW 28.7.34. H Secy) 28/7/34

90) M 30/7

3/c like Confl

TYPING SECTION 1. AUG. 1934 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

3017/34

To 91) sanctioning an arbitrary pension of Rs. 150/ pm to Mr Justice M. Ramachandra Rao. Rd Judge High Court of Mysore.

B

To 92) CB. we. for kindly taking the Confidential Collection placed in this file

M 15.8.34.

93) Confl Collection taken & the file returned

B. MW 248

RRO 15/8

3488/H

S.R.A. 270-33-1 (B. 2148)

This was enclosed in a letter sent to Mr Justice Ramachandra Rao & Mr Dawson. Mr Rao's name must be struck out. Examined - Keith

ku 12/4/34

Mr Rees with compts

MEMORANDUM.

In accordance with G.O. No. 648/C.B.33-31-2., dated 4th August 1931., appointing Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao as a Judge of the High Court for a period of three years, his term of office is due to terminate on the 13th August 1934. Apart from the 3 years thus put in by him, he had previously worked as a judge of the High Court from 27th October 1927 to 30th June 1929 (both days inclusive), - that is, for a period of one year, eight months, and five days. His total period of service as judge of the High Court will be 4 years, 8 months, and 5 days as on the 13th August 1934.

Under existing rules, there is no provision in the Mysore Civil Service Regulations for the grant of pensions to senior members of the Bar who are directly recruited from it to the High Court Bench itself. Article 262 -- provides for the addition of a number of years to the service of persons who are appointed directly from the Bar as subordinate judges, First class Magistrates, or -- " to a higher post in the judicial department ", which last expression cannot, in view of the qualifying service of not less than 10 years contemplated by it, be held to extend in actual practice to the case of a person directly appointed to the High Court itself from the Bar. It is not difficult to suggest the reason why a provision like this (" Special Addition " of a number of years to the service actually rendered) has not been contemplated thus far in regard to the case of a member of the Bar w has been directly appointed to the High Court Bench. is because such a case had not actually occured until recently. A suitable provision to meet cases of this kind is accordingly necessary. In the present case,

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao has put in a total service of 4 years, 8 months, and 5 days which is just less than 5 years by a short period of 3 months and odd. At the time he was directly appointed to the High Court, he was aged 54 years. Even by parity of reasoning, some provision on the lines contemplated by Article 262, would prima facie seem to be required. Whether at least five years of " Special addition " could not be allowed in cases of this kind as in the case of persons mentioned in Article 262 is well worthy of consideration. If such a special concession is allowed, the total qualifying service for superannuation pension in the present case would be 5 years of actual service plus 5 years of " special addition " to service, making in all 10 years. It may be suggested that having regard to the advanced age at which recruitment straight from the Bar to the High Court can take place, such a concession as this seems not unjust. In the Madras High Court, a judge becomes eligible for a pension of £ 600/- per annum for just 6½ years of service. For longer periods of service rendered by him, a Madras High Court Judge is entitled to higher amounts of pension, ranging from £ 720/- for 8½ years to £ 1,200/- for 11½ years.

It is needless to state the grounds of public policy on which pensions are granted even for short periods of service on the High Court Bench. One of these seems to be that the person this recruited direct from the Bar to the High Court has built up a reputation for himself, during a long course of practice which ensures to the benefit of Government. Another ground would appear to be that such a person when he lays down office should be allowed the privilege of maintaining the dignity that attaches to a retired judge of the highest Court of justice in the land.

Appendix F G—1]

Fair-draft

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section S+A.

File No. 270-33.

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. H 487.

To

Mr.Justice M.Ramachandra Rao,

Judge, High Court, Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Dear Sir,

Date of issue 4/7/34

With reference to your memorandum presented to the Dewan regarding the gran of a pension to you for services rendere as a pusine Judge of the High Court, I am desired to intimate that Government have decided as a special case to sanction to you an arbitrary pension of Rs 120/- p.m., from the date of ~~your retirement from the expiry of your term of service~~, though under the strict rules of the M.S.R a pension is not admissible.

Signed by Mr S.Shamanna

List of enclosures.....

Formal

~~Final~~ orders in the matter will issue in due course after you actually retire from service.

Copied by.....

~~I remain,~~

Yours sincerely,

Examined by.....

R

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

Sent copy to C.B.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore, 4th July 1934

D. O. No. H. 48

Dear Sir,

With reference to your memorandum presented to the Dewan regarding the grant of a pension to you for services rendered as a puisne Judge of the High Court, I am desired to intimate that Government have decided as a special case to sanction to you an arbitrary pension of Rs.120/- per mensem., from the date of the expiry of your term of service though under the strict rules of the Mysore Service Regulation a pension is not admissible. Formal orders in the matter will issue in due course after you actually retire from service.

Yours sincerely,

Mr. Justice H. Ramachandra Rao, B.A., B.L.,

Judge, High Court of Mysore,

Bangalore.

174

Bangalore,

2nd July 1934.

To

Amin-ul-mulk
Sir Mirza M. Ismail, Kt., C.I.E., O.B.E.,
Dewan of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

I understand that in connection with my retireme it is proposed by the Government to grant me a pension of Rs.120/- per mensem. I have already made known the circumstances under which a slightly higher pension mi be permissible in my case. As intimated, mine is perh the first case of a High Court Judge directly recruite from the Bar retiring at the end of a period of servic aggregating five years on the Bench. As there are no rules actually in force governing a case of this natur Government may perhaps be pleased to take a more favou able and larger view of my case. Perhaps also Governme may be willing on a slight examination of the matter t raise the quantum of pension to a higher figure. I nee not say, - and I feel this far too delicate a matter t do so, - how difficult it is to make anything like a strong representation in a matter so closely personal me. I accordingly request that Government will be pleased to look into the matter and see if they can do the needful.

Yours sincerely,

Ramachandra Rao

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section S+A

File No. 270-33

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..... 13/8/ 193 6

Read—

Signed by.....

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, kannada part ?

Order thereon.—No FE 1700-4

Government are pleas
to sanction an arbitrary pen
sion of Rs 150/- (Rupees one hundred
and fifty only) p.m. to Mr.
Justice M. Ramachandra R
Judge, High Court of Mysor
Bangalore, on his retire-
ment from service on 13-8-3

effect from 14th Aug 1934.

NW 27/6 Financial Secretary

om Stroller
Registrar, High Court of Mysore
for to ~~High Court~~
for to Hon. Justice M. Ramachandra Rao
Chamarajpet, Bangalore
copy to CB.
FS: Por
29.8.34.

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:—

F R P L R No. Fe 17034 for 270.33

Dated, Bangalore 13/8/1934.

Government are pleased to sanction an arbitrary pension of Rs.150/- (Rupees One hundred and fifty only) per mensem to Mr. Justice K. Ramachandra Rao, Judge, High Court of Mysore, Bangalore, on his retirement from service with effect from 14th August 1934.

for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Comptroller to Government,
The Registrar, High Court of Mysore.

13.8.34.